INSPECTION REPORT



Maricopa County Air Quality Department 1001 N. Central Avenue, Suite 125 Phoenix, AZ 85004

Phone: (602) 506-6010 Fax: (602) 506-2537

www.maricopa.gov/aq

Permit/Notification Number:

040136

SEP 5 2014

Hickman's Egg Ranch, Inc.

6515 S. Jackrabbit Trail

Buckeye

ΑZ

85326

11-Aug-14

Start Time: 0800 hrs

End Time: 0925 hrs

Inspector: Shannon Klimek #92

Phone:

602.527.6288

Email:

KlimekS@mail.maricopa.gov

Inspection Result:

No Violations Noted

Inspection Type:

Detail inspection

On-site

Inspection Purpose: Complaint

Complaint Number: 256235

Site Contact: Fernando Hoenig, Fertilizer Plant Operations Manager

Site Name: Hickmans Egg Ranch

32425 W. Salome Hwy

Site Address:

City:

Arlington, AZ

Phone:

Inspection Rights Notification:

623.764.4758

Read and Signed Rights

Comments

Complaint-generated inspection for dust emissions at fertilizer plant operating at Hickman's Egg Ranch in Arlington, AZ. Complainant stated dust emissions from unidentified equipment and from uncovered bulk storage piles.

Complaint response off-site from 0755 hrs to 0800 hrs. This inspection commenced off-site at 0800 hrs. and on-site at 0840 hrs.; and detailed chronologically as follows:

From 0800 hrs to 0840 hrs:

I observed and photographed or recorded video of the following sources of visible emissions while off-site:

- 1) Dust emissions from bulk material handling near screen at fertilizer plant
- 2) Dust emissions from stack on dust collector on dryer at fertilizer plant
- 3) Dust emissions from fertilizer loading operation at fertilizer plant

I prepared to document Method 9 VE readings from #1. No observations of this particular activity were made. My initial observation of #1 had the fallout zone obscured by another bulk storage pile. I moved to northeast of my position off site but no further activity occurred for over 20 minutes. I recorded video of loading operation #3 in which a loader/lift was loading 1 ton bags of fertilizer into cargo area of haul truck. My recording ceased due to SD card memory limitation. Coincidentally, this particular activity ceased at this time as haul truck received last load of fertilizer, so no VE possible.

At 0829 hrs; I left a voice mail for permit contact, Francisco Ruiz 623-764-3878 informing of my complaint response.

At 0831 hrs; I telephoned Compliance Manager, Shari Yeatts 623-872-2358 and informed her of my complaint response and observations. Ms. Yeatts stated she was unable to meet with me on site and suggested I contact Fertilizer Plant Operations Manager, Fernando Hoenig at 623-764-4758.

At 0840 hrs; I telephoned Mr. Hoenig who immediately met with me on site.

I explained to Mr. Hoenig the purpose of my inspection and my observations.

Mr. Hoenig made the following statements:

- a) Each bag of processed fertilizer contained approximately 2000 lbs. of fertilizer.
- b) The fertilizer is sold for \$165.00 per ton FOB (Freight on buyer), meaning not including any freight charges, which are the responsibility of the buyer.
- c) The fertilizer bulk storage piles are required to be maintained at less than 12% moisture content to prevent the formation of pathogens. i.e. salmonella. [tarping or enclosing the bulk storage piles are potential fugitive dust control measures].
- d) Dust and smoke emissions from the dust collector occur only at startup. [I observed emissions from the stack at dust collector was 0% opacity at this time.]
- e) Many Hickman's Egg Ranch employees have received dust control training. [Mr. Hoenig showed me his Comprehensive 310 Training accreditation at this time.]

Form Rev. 09Jun14

Comments

- f) Dust emissions observed from top of fertilizer silo could be abated by plugging; but caused caking of fertilizer which required frequent cleaning. [I informed additional ECS (Emission Control Systems) may alleviate emissions.]
- g) Dust emissions observed at bottom of silo had unknown point of emission.
- h) Composting activity and one Hickman's employee at South facility had been relocated to BioFlora north of Patterson Rd and West of Lewis Prison. Mr. Hoenig stated Luke Blotsky was his contact for BioFlora. Mr. Hoenig did not have an address for this facility.
- i) Compost is sold for \$35.00 per ton, with an estimated 5000 tons sold annually. Mr. Hoenig does not know any details of the business arrangements with BioFlora.

I informed Mr. Hoenig that I was commencing an investigation for a potential violation for operating the fertilizer plant without a permit. I informed Mr. Hoenig the composting operations at BioFlora may also be operating without a permit.

I photographed some of my observations on site; including silos, dryer, dust collector, cyclones and left the site.

At 0944 hrs; I telephoned Mr. Ruiz and informed him of my observations and potential for violation for operating without a permit.

Mr. Ruiz stated site has an ADEQ permit posted at the fertilizer plant and arranged to call me with the permit number due to potential applicability to my investigation.

At 1443 hrs; I telephoned Mr. Ruiz and informed him I would have update regarding my investigation, and telephone him on Thursday, August 14, 2014. Mr. Ruiz stated the posted ADEQ Permit 6363 is a permit to sell fertilizer.

At 1446 hrs; I telephoned Mr. Hoenig and informed him I would have update regarding my investigation, and telephone him on Thursday, August 14, 2014.

At 1448 hrs; I left voice message for Ms. Yeatts and informed her of the potential for a no-permit violation and informed her I would have update regarding my investigation, and telephone her on Thursday, August 14, 2014.

On August 14, 2014; I telephoned Mr. Hoenig, Mr. Ruiz, and Ms. Yeatts; informing each the Department has suspended a compliance determination pending determination from ADEQ regarding applicability of A.R.S. 49-457 to the fertilizer processing. I informed each I would contact them again if the status changed. Ms. Yeatts stated Mr. Ruiz is arranging a permit modification at this time with Department Permit Engineer, Sara Sueberling. I clarified the potential violation as a failure to modify the permit and the proposed permit modification would be the appropriate corrective action should the violation be issued.

On August 29, 2014; Department Compliance Manager, Kim Butler routed an email from Department Policy Advisor, Jo Crumbaker stating, "...ADEQ will handle dust complaints regarding the fertilizer process until we receive further clarification back from ADEQ. We will only be handling construction dust complaints and routine inspections or the equipment listed under their existing permit. We will not be working to resolve any complaints or issues with the fertilizer processing unit at this time."

Based upon the above communication, I will not make any compliance determination regarding the fertilizer processing activities at the facility but instead will close out this inspection as "No violations noted" -- without prejudice. The Department will re-visit this issue if ADEQ determines that A.R.S. 49-457 is not applicable to the fertilizer processing.

Area of concern: Composting activities at the facility may similarly be re-visited for applicability to Maricopa County Air Pollution Control Regulations contingent upon the anticipated determination by ADEQ.

On September 2, 2014; I telephoned Mr. Hoenig, Mr. Ruiz, and Ms. Yeatts; informing each of the status of my inspection I will be mailing out today.

End report.

Additional documents associated with this inspection report as follows:

- 1) Inspection recordings and photos
- 2) Emails

Delivery Method:

Date: 02-Sep-14

Regular Mail

DEFICE USE

rec:

rev: